Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/757,347	HUEBNER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>71</u>	
(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) James E. Austin {Reg. No. 39,489}.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 August 2006	Time: <u>12pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 6 and 11  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
•		
Laun L. Sokh		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicants' representative @12pm and left a voice mail message requesting permission to correct the spelling of "loweralkylaminocarbonyl" in claim 6; and delete one of the two "loweralkylsulfinyl" groups in claim 11. Mr. Austin returned the Examiner's phone call @2:26pm and gave the Examiner permission to make the changes by

Examiner's Amendment.